

2018

Prison workbook

France

2018 National report (2017 data) to the EMCDDA by the French Reitox National Focal Point

Supervision: Julien Morel d'Arleux

Coordination and editorial: Aurélie Lermenier-Jeannet et Anne de l'Eprevier

Contribution to the workbooks

- 1.1 *Drug Policy:* Cristina Díaz-Gómez, Julie-Émilie Adès
- 1.2 *Legal Framework:* Caroline Protais, Cristina Díaz-Gómez
- 2 *Drugs:* Olivier Le Nézet, Thomas Néfau, Michel Gandilhon, Agnès Cadet-Taïrou, Eric Janssen, Julie-Émilie Adès
- 3.1 *Prevention:* Carine Mutatayi
- 3.2 *Treatment:* Christophe Palle, Anne-Claire Brisacier
- 3.3 *Best Practice:* Carine Mutatayi
- 3.4 *Harms and Harm Reduction:* Anne-Claire Brisacier, Cristina Díaz-Gómez, Thomas Néfau, Agnès Cadet-Taïrou
- 4 *Drug Market and Crime:* Michel Gandilhon, Thomas Néfau, Caroline Protais
- 5.1 *Prison:* Caroline Protais, Anne-Claire Brisacier
- 5.2 *Research:* Isabelle Michot, Maitena Milhet

Proofreading (French version): Julie-Émilie Adès, Julien Morel d'Arleux, Ivana Obradovic (OFDT) ; Nicolas Prisse, president of the Interministerial Mission for Combating Drugs and Addictive Behaviours, and the project managers of the MILDECA

Proofreading (English version): Anne de l'Eprevier

Bibliographic references: Isabelle Michot

Legal references: Anne de l'Eprevier

Table of Contents

T0. Summary.....	3
T1. National profile	5
T1.1 Organization	5
T1.2 Drug use and related problems among prisoners.....	6
T1.3 Drug-related health responses in prisons.....	9
T1.4 Quality assurance of drug-related health prison responses.....	13
T2. Trends (Not applicable for this workbook.).....	13
T3. New developments.....	13
T4. Additional information	15
T5. Sources and methodology.	15

T0. Summary

Please provide an abstract of this workbook (target: 500 words) under the following headings:

- National profile
 - Summary of T.1.1: Provide core data on prison system: number of prisons and of prisoners, trends.
 - Summary of T1.2.1: please describe drug use among prisoners prior to imprisonment and drug use inside prison;
 - Summary of T1.2.2 : please describe risk behaviour and health consequences among prisoners before and in prison;
 - Summary of T.1.3: please provide a summary of the main forms of drug supply in prison;
 - Summary of T1.3.1: refer to policy or strategy document at national level deals with drug-related prison health;
 - Summary of T1.3.2: please refer to the ministry (or other structure) in charge of prison health and describe role of external (community-based) service providers (if any);
 - Summary of T1.3.3: please describe the types of drug-related health responses available in prisons in your country and if possible the scale of provision in terms of coverage and capacity.

As of 1st January 2017, France had 182 prison establishments with a total operational capacity of 58,581. With 66,432 inmates, there are 117 inmates for every 100 beds in France. The only recent surveys on the subject merely provide preliminary or partial data. However, studies conducted about a dozen years ago demonstrated that one third of new inmates stated prolonged, regular use of illegal drugs in the year prior to entering prison. Nearly 11% of inmates stating that they used illegal drugs on a regular basis used multiple substances prior to their imprisonment. 10% of inmates were addicted, but the total number of problem drug users (PDU) in prison settings is not quantified in France. No studies provide data on NPS use in prisons. Inmates have greater rates of infectious disease than the general population: although declining, HIV infection prevalences vary, depending on the source, from 0.6% to 2.0% (three to four times the prevalence in the general population), while prevalences of HCV are from 4.2% to 6.9% (four to five times higher).

Since 1994, the Ministry of Health is responsible for health in prisons and the treatment of addiction in prison settings is based on a three-tiered system: prison-based hospital healthcare units (UCSAs - now called health units in prison setting: USMP), which are responsible for monitoring the physical health of inmates; Regional Medico-Psychological Hospital Services (SMPRs) established in each French regions handle the mental health aspects of drug addicts in establishments where no national treatment and prevention centre for addiction (CSAPA) for prison exist, and finally, CSAPAs for prison have been established in the 16 largest establishments in France (and cover approximately a quarter of the incarcerated population). Furthermore, a reference CSAPA is appointed for each prison so as to offer support for inmates with addiction problems, particularly after their release.

To guarantee the application of harm reduction measures, two main ways of preventing the spread of infectious diseases have been implemented in prison settings since 1996. First, inmates receiving opioid substitution treatment must not only be able to continue their treatment in prison, but should also be able to initiate treatment if they wish. In addition to substitution, prison establishments offer prevention and decontamination tools for fighting against HIV and hepatitis's.

Since 2009, different laws have proposed to step up harm reduction measures in prison. The main lines of improvement concern the increased scope and role of the reference CSAPA, routine implementation of screening tests, and widespread access to all existing harm reduction measures, including needle and syringe exchange programmes. These objectives are reasserted in the 2016 health reform law.

- New developments
 - Summary of T3: please describe the most recent developments in drug use (including NPS), and drug related interventions in prison

The plan defining the health strategy for inmates, published in April 2017, aims to increase HIV, HCV and HBV screening resources, by proposing to develop the use of rapid diagnostic tests (RDT) and repeating screening during custody. It also encourages improving measures to identify addictive behaviours by introducing a routine health assessment “relating to the use of illicit drugs, psychoactive medicines, alcohol and tobacco” when entering prison.

The 2018-2022 national action plan on addiction also includes several specific measures targeting prison populations, with key approaches listed below:

- Ensuring that routine screening for addictive behaviour effectively takes place in the prison setting.
- Implementing a policy which facilitates indoor smoking bans and eliminating exposure to second-hand smoke.
- Introducing an inmate prevention programme for tobacco and cannabis use.
- Providing equivalent care in terms of addiction medicine in the prison setting to that provided in the general population: 1) by improving the skills of health unit workers and 2) by offering CSAPAs and CAARUDs a larger role within these institutions, by supporting health teams and/or directly working alongside inmates.
- Promoting access to care in terms of addiction medicine for individuals referred by the justice system outside the prison setting.
- Introducing a prevention programme and harm reduction measures, especially for alcohol, particularly with a view to leaving prison, for inmates or young people followed up by judicial youth protection teams.
- Implementing a policy aiming to reduce cannabis use and trafficking in a prison setting.
- Acting on the specific issue of diversion and misuse of medications in a prison setting.
- Promoting inmate access to telephone helpline services and digital content on addiction prevention.
- Supporting intervention by peer workers in assisting inmates with addictive behaviours.
- Increasing HIV, HCV and HBV screening, and ensuring that hepatitis B vaccines are up to date, based on risk factors; promoting treatment and access to treatment while in prison.
- Removing obstacles to conducting the PRIDE research on acceptability and feasibility of harm reduction measures in prison.
- Finalising the decree on the adaptation of harm reduction measures for inmates.

In 2015, HIV and HCV screening was provided for 70% of inmates, with results routinely reported in 72% of health units. Non-invasive methods for evaluating hepatic fibrosis are used in 84% of health units, and 56% benefit from specialist on-site clinics; 66% started at least one direct-acting antiviral treatment in 2015, and 130 patients were treated.

Approximately 14,900 inmates received opioid substitution treatment in 2013, i.e. 7.8% of individuals having spent time in the prison setting, with a stable prevalence relative to 2010. The most widely prescribed medication is still buprenorphine (61.6% of cases), although the proportion of methadone prescriptions are continuing to rise (38.4% of prescriptions in 2013 *versus* 15.2% in 1998), and is now at a higher level compared to outside the prison setting.

T1. National profile

T1.1 Organization

The purpose of this section is to

- describe the organisation of prisons and the prison population, in general, regardless drug use and related problems

*T1.1.1 **Optional.** Please provide a short overview of prison services in your country: relevant topics here could include: number of prisons, capacity, & differing inmate profile (type offence, gender, age).*

Please note that SPACE statistics, which provide the statistics on the prison population in Europe (<http://www3.unil.ch/wpmu/space/space-i/annual-reports/>), will be used to complement this information.

Description du système pénitentiaire

As of 1st January 2017, France had 182 prison establishments (Sous-direction de la statistique et des études 2017) with a total operational capacity of 58,581. These establishments include:

- 80 remand centres and 50 remand wings (located in penitentiaries) holding pre-trial detainees (remand inmates), inmates with less than one year of their sentence left and newly convicted inmates awaiting transfer to another prison setting (detention centre or high security prison) ;
- 95 prisons for convicted inmates (with several wings), i.e.:
 - 53 penitentiaries including at least two wings for inmates of a different detention status (remand centre, detention centre and/or high security) ;
 - 24 detention centres and 42 detention centre wings holding those convicted adults with the best prospects for reintegration. Their detention programme is chiefly aimed at “re-socialising” inmates;
 - 6 high security prisons and 8 high security wings for the most difficult inmates;
 - 12 semi-custodial centres and 22 semi-custodial wings housing convicted offenders who have been referred there by a judge responsible for the execution of sentences with an outside placement without monitoring or an open prison regime, and 10 resettlement prison wings, which are located in penitentiaries;
- 6 penal establishments for minors, which are provided for in the French law of September 2002 on the orientation and programming of the justice system [[Loi n°2002-1138 d'orientation et de programmation pour la justice](#)].
- 1 national public health establishment located in Fresnes (thus falling within the scope of the Ministry of Health), open to inmates (defendants and convicted inmates) presenting somatic and/or psychiatric disorders.

According to data from prisons administration directorate, the prison population in France as of the first of January 2017 consists of nearly 75% convicted inmates, with 18% of them for a drug-related offence (DLO) i.e. an offense linked with drug use, drug possession and resale or drug trafficking. They are almost exclusively males (97%).

T1.2 Drug use and related problems among prisoners

The purpose of this section is to provide a commentary on the

- Prevalence and patterns of drug use and the related problems among prisoners
- Numerical data submitted in the relevant parts of ST 12, ST 9, TDI

T1.2.1 Please comment on any recent studies that provide information on prevalence of drug use (please specify substance covered and provide links if available). Structure your answer under the headings:

- Drug use prior to imprisonment
- Drug use inside prison

Drug use prior to imprisonment

Studies conducted about a dozen years ago by the Directorate for research, studies, assessment and statistics (DREES) of the Ministry of Health) on drug use among inmates demonstrated that one third of new inmates stated prolonged, regular use of illegal drugs in the year prior to entering prison: cannabis (29.8%), cocaine and crack (7.7%), opioids (6.5%), misused medications (5.4%), other substances (LSD, ecstasy, glues, solvents: 4,0 %) (Mouquet 2005). Nearly 11% of inmates stating that they used illegal drugs on a regular basis used multiple substances prior to their imprisonment. 10% of inmates were addicted¹ (Falissard *et al.* 2006): this proportion increased to 40% of inmates who had been incarcerated for less than six months (Duburcq *et al.* 2004). However, it remains difficult to precisely quantify this phenomenon since it is difficult to interpret the conditions of admission to the prison setting. More recent studies were conducted in the 2010s, either in a prison setting (Liancourt) (Sannier *et al.* 2012) or on a regional scale (Picardie) (OR2S 2017). In the last study, 40% of inmates claimed to have taken at least one illegal drug in the 12 months prior to imprisonment, 22% regularly and 18.5% occasionally. Cannabis use concerned 38% of inmates, cocaine/crack use 6%, heroin, morphine or opium use 9%, and diverted psychotropic medicine use 1%.

Drug use inside prison

Imprisonment rarely means discontinuing use: all substances smoked, snorted, injected or swallowed prior to imprisonment continue to be used (albeit in reduced proportions) during imprisonment (Rotily 2000).

Detention is otherwise marked by a transfer of use from illegal drugs (which are less available) to medicines (Stankoff *et al.* 2000). Finally, an unspecified proportion of inmates begin using illegal substances or misused opioid substitution medications during their imprisonment. Misuse of medicines/prescription drugs is probably a growing phenomenon and is seen more in prisons for women than for men (Marais-Gaillard 2007).

Some recent surveys provide preliminary data quantifying substance use. A recent thesis (D'almeida *et al.* 2016) estimates that 8 out of 10 inmates smoke while in prison (tobacco and/or cannabis). The surveys conducted in the 2010s, at the Liancourt (Sannier *et al.* 2012) and Lyon Corbas (Sahajian *et al.* 2017) prisons, indicate cannabis use in the region of 40%, cocaine use ranging from 7% to 10% and heroin use of around 8%. According to the survey conducted at Liancourt, nearly 7% of inmates reportedly used morphine-based medications for non-medicinal purposes, and nearly 9% non-prescribed benzodiazepines.

Further to a preliminary study conducted in 3 French prisons (Néfau *et al.* 2017), the analysis of prison sewage continued in 2017, at 2 prisons in mainland France and one in an overseas department. The findings still show the substantial presence of THC, a marker for cannabis use, in the samples. On average, the estimated levels of use correspond to approximately 2.7 joints per day per person (between 2 and 3.2 depending on the prison). The other substances detected, in smaller quantities, are cocaine, MDMA, morphine (which may be the marker for both morphine and heroin use), EDDP (marker for methadone) and, lastly, buprenorphine.

The estimated level of cocaine and MDMA use vary according to the various institutions, but also according to the sampling dates within each institution. Given the number of samples taken and analysed, it seems somewhat premature to comment on these differences. Other samples will be taken in 2018 and will enable any trends to be identified. As regards opioid substitution medications, in a prison located in mainland France, the quantities of markers detected in sewage are consistent with the treatment provided at the institution. However, for the other mainland prison, the quantities delivered seem inexplicably higher than the levels detected in sewage. In the overseas prison, no opioid substitution medications were detected in the samples obtained.

There are no known numerical data on the presence of NPS in French prisons.

The total number of problem drug users (PDU) in prison settings is not quantified in France.

¹ According to the DSM IV criteria.

T1.2.2 Please comment on any studies that estimate drug-related problems among the prison population. If information is available please structure your answer under the following headings

- Drug related problems – on admission and within the prison population
- Risk behaviour and health consequences (please make specific reference to any available information on data on drug related infectious diseases among the prison population)

Drug related problems

Although it is known that illegal drugs are available in French prisons, it is difficult to define the magnitude of the problem. The sparse official figures available on the subject goes back to the beginning of 2000s: 75% of French penal establishments were subject to drug trafficking (Jean and Inspection générale des services judiciaires 1996). In 80% of cases, the illegal substance seized was cannabis, a prescription drugs was confiscated in 6% of cases, and heroin or another drug in the rest (Senon et al. 2004).

Risk behaviour and health consequences

Regardless of whether initiated or continued in prison, narcotics use can seriously affect the health of the inmates by generating serious abscesses, accidents when combining medicines and other substances, severe and longer cravings, and the onset or worsening of psychological or psychiatric disorders (Obradovic et al. 2011). Moreover, detainees constitute a population group with numerous, cumulative risk factors considering the health and social consequences of drug use. The low levels of access to care for this population group, and more fundamentally, the unstable and marginal situations often faced before incarceration (including a lack of stable housing or social security coverage) all contribute to explaining the prevalence of “at risk” use behaviour among new inmates.

A survey conducted between 2011 and 2013 (Michel et al. 2018) shows that, out of the individuals who reported a history of imprisonment and injection use during interview, 14% described injection practices while in prison, 40.5% of whom shared needles or syringes. Likewise, the survey conducted at Lyon-Corbas (see T1.2.1) shows that, among users of illegal drugs other than cannabis, 60% reported snorting as the route of administration, and 31% injection. Furthermore, only 12% of injecting drug users claimed to sterilise their injection equipment with bleach. According to prior studies, between 60 and 80% of inmates stop injecting during their imprisonment (Stankoff et al. 2000). The remaining 20 to 40% who carry on injecting tend to reduce the frequency of their injections but increase the quantities injected. They also tend to be more often HIV- and/or HCV-infected, with a high risk of contamination from shared equipment, unprotected sex and tattooing (Rotily et al. 1998). Thus, people who have already been incarcerated at least once have a prevalence of hepatitis C that is nearly 10 times higher than that of the general population (7.1% versus 0.8%), as shown by the data of the Coquelicot survey.

Inmates have greater rates of infectious disease than the general population (DGS 2011; DHOS 2004; Sanchez 2006): although declining, HIV infection prevalences vary, depending on the source, from 0.6% to 2.0% (three to four times the prevalence in the general population (InVS 2009)), while prevalences of HCV are from 4.2% to 6.9% (four to five times higher (DHOS 2004; Meffre 2006; Remy 2004; Semaille et al. 2013)). In people receiving opioid substitution treatment, these prevalences are even higher, both for HIV (3.6%) and HCV (26.3%), since drug use is the most frequent contamination route (70%).

The psychosocial risks related to narcotic use in prison are also high. The resulting trafficking is said to be a genuine source of violence between inmates, resulting in gangland killings, threats, and extortion (Canat and Gales 2012; Chantraine 2004; Fernandez 2010).

T.1.2.3 Please comment on any recent data or report that provide information on drug supply in prison (for example on modus operandi)

The literature on prison sociology describes bartering of psychoactive substances and medications diverted from their use as being omnipresent in French prisons. Surveys specifically focusing on the supply of drugs in a prison setting are, however, rare and out of date. Bartering is thought to be part of a wider network of transactions and is said to involve 50% of the inmate population (Jean and Inspection générale des services judiciaires 1996). Cannabis is thought to be the most widely distributed substance, accounting for 80% of substances seized in French prisons, far ahead of medications (6%). Drugs are mainly thought to enter prisons via visiting rooms (47%) (Jean and Inspection générale des services judiciaires 1996), reimprisonment (11.5%), return from leave (12%), packages thrown over prison walls and post. Furthermore, bartering is thought to be organised based on a "lookout" system managed by inmates, and takes place in geographical spaces not under surveillance (Jean and Inspection générale des services judiciaires 1996). Walks and "yoyos"¹ are also said to be preferred spaces and methods (Chantraine 2004) or exchanging substances. The most common bartering currency is thought to be haircuts, psychoactive medicines and other goods and services (Chantraine 2004).

The strategies adopted by healthcare and prison staff in response to the influx and bartering of drugs were perceived to be partial in the early 2000s, varying according to the institutions (Jean and Inspection générale des services judiciaires 1996; Obradovic 2005; Pradier 1999). Measures adopted by the prisons were mainly disciplinary in nature (disciplinary committees in 93% of cases, followed by disciplinary penalties in 95% of cases) (Jean and Inspection générale des services judiciaires 1996). The public prosecutor's department made judgements in only 41% of cases. Prison healthcare units also adopted solutions to limit the misuse and bartering of psychoactive medicines (Obradovic 2005). These varied considerably, raising disagreements and profound ethical conflicts between professionals. Some freely adopted disciplinary approaches along the lines of reducing the prescribed doses, raising questions as to the equality of inmates with respect to health care compared with the general population. Others, not wishing to discriminate against the inmate population, are accused of adopting a more resigned attitude.

These studies nonetheless have undeniable limitations insofar as they are somewhat outdated. The Circé (CIRculation Consumption Exchange: drugs in the prison setting) survey is currently conducted by the OFDT with funding from the Ministry of Justice. The initial results which are expected by 2018, should enable these findings to be updated.

¹ Exchanges between inmates through windows, generally on different floors.

T1.3 Drug-related health responses in prisons

The purpose of this section is to

- Provide an overview of how drug-related health responses in prison are addressed in your national drug strategy or other relevant drug/prison policy document
- Describe the organisation and structure of drug-related health responses in prison in your country
- Comment on the provision of drug-related health services (activities/programmes currently implemented)
- Provide contextual information useful to understand the data submitted through ST24/ST10

T1.3.1 Is drug-related prison health explicitly mentioned in a policy or strategy document at national level? (Relevant here are any drug-specific health strategy for prisons; as well as the national drug or prison strategy documents).

In 2015, the Inspectorate-General of Judicial Services, the Inspectorate-General of Social Affairs (IGAS) and the Inspectorate-General of Finance were seized in order to evaluate the interministerial integration policies for the insertion of individuals placed in the hands of the prison authorities. The conclusions of this study were published in July 2016 (Delbos *et al.* 2016). Several recommendations relate to the reintegration of inmates displaying addictive behaviour, the main three being as follows:

- the increasing number of alternative programmes to custody in the event of offences related to addictions based on the Bobigny system model (see the 2016 Prevention Workbook).
- the development of treatment units in custody committed to fighting addictions similar to existing programmes abroad, based on the drug user rehabilitation unit (URUD) that has been implemented experimentally in one prison with the OFDT in charge of its evaluation.
- the routine implementation of a treatment and follow-up programme following custody, for all individuals suffering from addictions.

The plan defining the health strategy for inmates (Ministère des affaires sociales et de la santé and Ministère de la justice 2017), published in April 2017, aims to increase HIV, HCV and HBV screening resources, by proposing to develop the use of rapid diagnostic tests (RDT) and repeating screening during custody. It also encourages improving measures to identify addictive behaviours by introducing a routine health assessment “relating to the use of illicit drugs, psychoactive medicines, alcohol and tobacco” when entering prison. This assessment was already proposed by the Guide to opioid substitution treatments in prison settings, updated in 2015 in a standard format.

The national action plan on addiction (MILDECA 2018) also includes several specific measures targeting prison populations, with key approaches described in section T3.

Furthermore, the health system reform law of 26 January 2016 reasserted the need for the diffusion of harm reduction measures in the prison setting [[Loi n° 2016-41 du 26 janvier 2016 de modernisation de notre système de santé](#)]. The implementing decree has not yet been published, even though the majority of healthcare stakeholders in the prison sector and sociological surveys on the issue consider access to harm reduction measures to be “deficient” (Michel 2018) and unequal (Joël 2018) in France.

T1.3.2 Please describe the structure of drug-related prison health responses in your country. Information relevant to this answer includes: ministry in charge; coordinating and implementing bodies/organizations; relationship to the system for community-based drug service provision.

The law of 18 January 1994 [[Loi n°94-43 relative à la santé publique et à la protection sociale](#)] created the health care system as it stands today in the prison setting, based on the specialisation of services. It makes the public hospital service responsible for treatment. On the one hand, outpatient care is provided within the prison setting in specially dedicated units: prison-based hospital healthcare units (now entitled USMP) and psychiatric treatment units (regional medical/psychological services - SMPR), which offer outpatient hospital beds. The 202 CSAPAs located in 162 prisons (16 exclusively) (Palle and Rattanatray 2018) operate in connection with the USMP and SMPR. These cover approximately 28,200 individuals displaying addictive behaviour. In 2000, the interministerial legislative order of 24 August provided for the creation of secure inter-regional hospital units (UHSI) to provide somatic therapy [[Arrêté relatif à la création des unités hospitalières sécurisées interrégionales destinées à l'accueil des personnes incarcérées](#)]. Ten years later [[Arrêté du 20 juillet 2010 relatif au ressort territorial des unités spécialement aménagées destinées à l'accueil des personnes incarcérées souffrant de troubles mentaux](#)], specially equipped hospital units (UHSA), providing psychiatric care, were created. Certain inmates wishing to remain drug free can be hospitalised in these UHSA with the agreement of the medical team and after giving their consent. However, treatment of these individuals in the UHSA is not an approach prioritised by professionals, and treatment activities specifically intended for the management of addictive behaviours are practically non-existent (Protais 2015).

The methodological guide on the medical treatment of inmates published in January 2018 (Ministère de la justice and Ministère des solidarités et de la santé 2017) updates the one published in 2012 (Ministère de la justice and Ministère des affaires sociales et de la santé 2012). It adopts a three-tiered approach, besides the specialist fields of the different services, based on the proposed treatments: level 1 includes appointments, and outpatient activities and services; level 2, treatment requiring part-time management (alternative to complete hospitalisation); and lastly, level 3 includes treatment requiring full-time hospitalisation¹.

At the same time, the legal framework of the prison harm reduction scheme also offers various possibilities for providing access to care for drug addicted inmates since the circular of 5 December 1996 [[Circulaire DGS/DH/DAP n°96-739 relative à la lutte contre l'infection par le virus de l'immunodéficience humaine \(VIH\) en milieu pénitentiaire : prévention, dépistage, prise en charge sanitaire, préparation à la sortie et formation des personnels](#)] :

- Screening for HIV and hepatitis is theoretically offered upon arrival (CDAG - Anonymous Free Screening Centre) but is not systematic for hepatitis C (POPHEC - First hepatitis C prison's observatory - data).
- Prophylactic measures (hygiene measures and the provision of post-exposure treatments for both staff and inmates).
- Availability of condoms with lubricant (theoretically accessible via USMPs).
- Access to opioid substitution treatments (OST) and the availability of bleach to disinfect equipment in contact with blood (injection, tattooing and body piercing equipment).

This text has been updated by the 2018 Methodological Guide mentioned above. (see also section T1.4.1).

¹ By differentiating between outpatient management and part-time care, the current USMP are associated with level 1, like the CSAPA operating in a prison setting, whereas the SMPR belong to levels 1 and 2. The UHSA and UHSI belong to level 3.

T1.3.3 Please comment on the types of drug-related health responses available in prisons in your country and if possible the scale of provision in terms of coverage and capacity. Information relevant to this answer could include: health screening at prison entry, including

assessment of drug use and related problems (specify rules and deadlines, approach of drug use assessment, such as use of standardise tools, medical or other staff involved; availability of treatment (psychosocial / counselling / pharmacological-assisted), OST in prison (initiation and/or continuation and requirements for continuation; treatment regimens, including dosage; collaboration with external providers; registration, coverage of drug users prisoners), harm reduction interventions (including syringe distribution), overdose prevention training and naloxone (in prison or on release), testing, vaccination and treatment of infectious diseases & referral processes to external services on release.

To prevent the health problems and the spread of drug use-related infectious disease, both of which are aggravated by the prison overpopulation problem, newly-arrived inmates are screened to determine their drug use-related health problems. A medical appointment is routinely offered, and this initial examination should take place promptly, with the inmate's consent. This screening includes tuberculosis testing, a voluntary, free HIV test and, more recently, screening for hepatitis C as well as a hepatitis B vaccination. In 2015, HIV and HCV screening was provided for 70% of inmates, with results routinely reported in 72% of health units (USMP) (Remy *et al.* 2017). Non-invasive methods for evaluating hepatic fibrosis are used in 84% of USMP, and 56% benefit from specialist on-site clinics; 66% started at least one direct-acting antiviral treatment in 2015, and 130 patients were treated. To guarantee the application of harm reduction measures, now embodied in legislation¹ [[Loi n°2004-806 du 9 août 2004 relative à la politique de santé publique](#)], and then reaffirmed in the 2016 law on health system reform [[Loi n°2016-41 du 26 janvier 2016](#)], two main ways of preventing the spread of infectious diseases have been implemented in prison settings since 1996. The [5 December 1996 circular](#) [see section T1.3.2 above] first and foremost stipulates access to opioid substitution treatment (OST) in prison: inmates receiving OST must not only be able to continue their treatment in prison, but should also be able to initiate treatment if they wish, and especially buprenorphine therapy. Since 2002, methadone OST can also be initiated. There is no medicalised heroin programme in prison, like outside of prisons. However, in practice, not all penal establishment offer generalised access to all available treatments (Michel *et al.* 2011). In 2010, a few establishments only offered one type of treatment: buprenorphine only was offered in four establishments and methadone only in four others. Continuity of OST care upon release is only ensured by half of the establishments (55%), and 38% of the establishments stated that they did not have a formalised procedure.

Approximately 14,900 inmates received OST in 2013, i.e. 7.8% of individuals having spent time in the prison setting (Observatoire des structures de santé des personnes détenues (OSSD) 2014), with a stable prevalence relative to 2010 (Chemlal *et al.* 2012; DGS 2011). The most widely prescribed medication is still buprenorphine (61.6% of cases), although the proportion of methadone prescriptions are continuing to rise (38.4% of prescriptions in 2013 *versus* 15.2% in 1998), and is now at a higher level compared to outside the prison setting. The proportion of inmates receiving OST differs according to the type of institution. Detention centres (institutions receiving inmates sentenced to under five years imprisonment) have the highest prevalence of OST with 13% of inmates receiving treatment, 8% of inmates receive OST in remand centres (an institution for pre-trial defendants and convicted offenders with less than a year remaining in their sentence) and 5% in high security prisons (institutions for convicts facing long sentences). In 2010, the prevalence of OST in women was more than twice that observed in males (16.5% *vs.* 7.7%, respectively) according to the Prévacar survey (Barbier *et al.* 2016). A recent survey (Carrieri *et al.* 2017) moreover showed that switching from buprenorphine to methadone could reduce misuse and thus significantly reduce drug-related offences (namely the purchase and sale of narcotics), along with imprisonment levels.

In addition to substitution, prison establishments offer prevention and decontamination tools for fighting against HIV: in accordance with the recommendations of the Gentilini report (Gentilini and Tcheriatchoukine 1996), periodically distributing bleach in set quantities and

concentrations became generalised in prison in order to clean any equipment that comes into contact with blood (such as injection, tattooing and piercing equipment). Distributing bleach chlorometrically titrated to 12° has occurred systematically since the Health-Justice [circular of 5 December 1996](#) [see section T1.3.2 above], and since the Health/Justice memorandum dated 9 August 2001 [[Note interministérielle n°474 relative à l'amélioration de la prise en charge sanitaire et sociale des personnes détenues présentant une dépendance aux produits licites ou illicites ou ayant une consommation abusive](#)], prison administrations have been encouraging health personnel to inform inmates on how to use bleach as a product to disinfect injection equipment. The legal measures implemented by the 5 December 1996 directive to fight against the spread of HIV also stipulate making NF-compliant condoms available free of charge with lubricants (theoretically obtainable through prison-based hospital healthcare units): inmates can keep these items on their person or in their cell. Access to prophylactic antiretroviral therapy after accidental exposure to blood is also available for health and prison staff as well as for inmates. Subsequently, for injecting drug users, the only current way to protect themselves against AIDS, other than through post-exposure antiretroviral prophylaxis and access to condoms and lubricants in the event of sexual relations, is to disinfect syringes with bleach. These measures for cleaning injection equipment with bleach have been proven acceptable in eliminating HIV: however, it has been established that these measures are not sufficiently effective in combating the hepatitis C virus (Crofts 1994). Inmates have access to bleach, but it is not systematically distributed and is, in most cases, not accompanied by useful harm reduction information (INSERM 2010). Outside of the prison setting, messages on disinfecting with bleach have furthermore been largely abandoned in favour of messages on refraining from reusing injection equipment ("New equipment for each injection").

In contrast to the situation outside prisons, support for drug users is limited in the prison setting (counselling, peer education, primary health care) and access to sterile injection equipment (alcohol wipes, bottles of sterile water, sterile containers "cookers", sterile syringes), which has been authorised in the general population since 1989, is absent from all prison settings.

France does not offer syringe exchange programmes in prisons. This was considered a "premature" initiative by the Health-Justice mission of 2000 before becoming the subject of new recommendations within the scope of the INSERM collective expert evaluation conducted in 2010 (INSERM 2010). As regards syringe exchange programmes, an implementing decree on the health system reform law should enable them to be introduced in a prison setting so as to achieve equivalent harm reduction measures as the non-prison environment (Branchu *et al.* 2015).

¹ This law proposes an official definition of the harm reduction policy ("the policy of harm reduction for drug users aims to prevent the transmission of infection, death by intravenous drug overdose and the social and psychological harm related to abuse of drugs classified as narcotics", art. L. 3121-4) and places the responsibility for defining this policy with the French government (art. L. 3121-3).

T1.3.4 Please comment any contextual information helpful to understand the estimates of opioid substitution treatment clients in prison provided in ST24.

The estimated prevalence of individuals receiving OST (opioid substitution treatment) is based on OSSD data. There may be some duplicates in the total estimated number of individuals receiving OST, related to individuals having completed a prison stay and having been followed up by a CSAPA or general practitioner for their treatment upon release (and vice versa), in the same year.

T1.3.5 Optional. Please provide any additional information important for understanding the extent and nature of drug-related health responses implemented in prisons in your country.

T1.4 Quality assurance of drug-related health prison responses

The purpose of this section is to provide information on quality system and any drug-related health prison standards and guidelines.

Note: cross-reference with the Best Practice Workbook.

*T.1.4.1 **Optional.** Please provide an overview of the main treatment quality assurance standards, guidelines and targets within your country.*

A first guide to the health care treatment of inmates was distributed in 1994 to prison system health workers. This guide was updated in 2005, in 2012 and then in 2017 (Ministère de la justice and Ministère des solidarités et de la santé 2017). In its outline, the guide reiterates the current principles of the treatment offered to inmates and persons in detention, both physical and psychiatric, in compliance with the 2017 “health/prison” strategic actions plan” (Ministère des affaires sociales et de la santé and Ministère de la justice 2017).

The guide specifies that the modalities for release need to be planned sufficiently early, before the definitive release date. However, in practice, the tools of the current system are often insufficient: in addition to the problems accessing care during imprisonment (especially due to overpopulation), there are difficulties finding housing and continuity of care following release, especially in remand centres. Furthermore, the guide offers a framework agreement for field workers to ensure that inmates take advantage of their social rights. Other framework documents are also enclosed within the guide, such as useful references on treating minors.

The *Guide des traitements de substitution aux opiacés en milieu carcéral* (Guide to Opioid Substitution Treatments in prison settings) (Ministère des affaires sociales et de la santé and MILDT 2013) recommends daily supervised methadone dispensing, including on weekends and on holidays, to prevent overdose risk. But this recommendation seems difficult to systematically apply given the lack of health personnel described by professionals working in prison settings.

T2. Trends (Not applicable for this workbook.)

T3. New developments

The purpose of this section is to provide information on any notable or topical developments observed in drug-related issues in prisons in your country **since your last report.**

T1 is used to establish the baseline of the topic in your country. Please focus on any new developments here.

If information on recent notable developments have been included as part of the baseline information for your country, please make reference to that section here. It is not necessary to repeat the information.

T.3.1 Please report on any notable new or topical developments in drug-related issues in prisons in your country since your last report examples, NPS prevalence and responses in prison.

The plan defining the health strategy for inmates (Ministère des affaires sociales et de la santé and Ministère de la justice 2017), published in April 2017, aims to increase HIV, HCV

and HBV screening resources, by proposing to develop the use of rapid diagnostic tests (RDT) and repeating screening during custody. It also encourages improving measures to identify addictive behaviours by introducing a routine health assessment “relating to the use of illicit drugs, psychoactive medicines, alcohol and tobacco” when entering prison.

The 2018-2022 national action plan on addiction (MILDECA 2018) also includes several specific measures targeting prison populations, with key approaches listed below:

- Ensuring that routine screening for addictive behaviour effectively takes place in the prison setting.
- Implementing a policy which facilitates indoor smoking bans and eliminating exposure to second-hand smoke.
- Introducing an inmate prevention programme for tobacco and cannabis use.
- Providing equivalent care in terms of addiction medicine in the prison setting to that provided in the general population: 1) by improving the skills of health unit workers and 2) by offering CSAPAs and CAARUDs a larger role within these institutions, by supporting health teams and/or directly working alongside inmates.
- Promoting access to care in terms of addiction medicine for individuals referred by the justice system outside the prison setting.
- Introducing a prevention programme and harm reduction measures, especially for alcohol, particularly with a view to leaving prison, for inmates or young people followed up by judicial youth protection teams.
- Implementing a policy aiming to reduce cannabis use and trafficking in a prison setting.
- Acting on the specific issue of diversion and misuse of medications in a prison setting.
- Promoting inmate access to telephone helpline services and digital content on addiction prevention.
- Supporting intervention by peer workers in assisting inmates with addictive behaviours.
- Increasing HIV, HCV and HBV screening, and ensuring that hepatitis B vaccines are up to date, based on risk factors; promoting treatment and access to treatment while in prison.
- Removing obstacles to conducting the PRIDE research on acceptability and feasibility of harm reduction measures in prison
- Finalising the decree on the adaptation of harm reduction measures for inmates.

(see Policy workbook for more details)

In 2015, HIV and HCV screening was provided for 70% of inmates, with results routinely reported in 72% of health units (Remy *et al.* 2017). Non-invasive methods for evaluating hepatic fibrosis are used in 84% of health units, and 56% benefit from specialist on-site clinics; 66% started at least one direct-acting antiviral treatment in 2015, and 130 patients were treated.

Approximately 14,900 inmates received OST in 2013, i.e. 7.8% of individuals having spent time in the prison setting (Observatoire des structures de santé des personnes détenues (OSSD) 2014), with a stable prevalence relative to 2010 (Chemlal *et al.* 2012; DGS 2011). The most widely prescribed medication is still buprenorphine (61.6% of cases), although the proportion of methadone prescriptions are continuing to rise (38.4% of prescriptions in 2013 versus 15.2% in 1998), and is now at a higher level compared to outside the prison setting.

T4. Additional information

The purpose of this section is to provide additional information important to drug use among prisoners, its correlates and drug-related health responses in prisons in your country that has not been provided elsewhere.

T4.1 Optional. Please describe any additional important sources of information, specific studies or data on drug market and crime. Where possible, please provide references and/or links.

Two studies, conducted a few years ago, have entered a new one-year phase, the results of which are expected in 2018-2019. This concerns the second phase of the PRI²DE survey (see T5.2 for the description of the first survey), which aims to study the acceptability of harm reduction measures among health workers in the prison setting, prison staff and inmates.

In addition, the Coquelicot survey has been conducted in prison settings to determine the prevalence of HIV and HCV, together with patterns of use in prisons. First results are expected in 2019.

Furthermore, the qualitative CIRCE (CIRculation Consumption Exchange: drugs in the prison setting) survey, jointly managed by the OFDT, aims to shed light on the way in which inmates fall into drug use, together with the trafficking mechanisms for psychoactive substances in a prison setting. The results are expected in 2018.

T4.2 Optional. Please describe any other important aspect of drug market and crime that has not been covered in the specific questions above. This may be additional information or new areas of specific importance for your country.

T5. Sources and methodology.

The purpose of this section is to collect sources and bibliography for the information provided above, including brief descriptions of studies and their methodology where appropriate.

T5.1 Please list notable sources for the information provided above.

Barbier, C., Maache, A., Bauer, D., Joannard, N. and Lerasle, S. (2016). Enquête flash relative à la prise en charge des addictions en milieu carcéral. Direction générale de la santé, Ministère des affaires sociales et de la santé.

Branchu, C., Guedj, J., D'Almeida, S., Zientara-Logeay, S., De Blasi, M. and Lopez, F. (2015). Evaluation du plan d'actions stratégiques 2010-2014 relatif à la politique de santé des personnes placées sous main de justice. Inspection Générale des Affaires Sociales (IGAS), Inspection générale des services judiciaires (IGSJ), Paris. Available: <http://www.igas.gouv.fr/spip.php?article542> [accessed 20/06/2018].

Canat, A.B. and Gales, B. (2012). Facteurs influençant la toxicomanie en milieu carcéral. Etude qualitative chez d'anciens détenus recrutés en Centres de Soins pour Toxicomanes. Thèse de médecine, Université Joseph Fournier - Faculté de médecine de Grenoble.

- Carrieri, P., Vilotitch, A., Nordmann, S., Lions, C., Michel, L., Mora, M. *et al.* (2017). Decrease in self-reported offences and incarceration rates during methadone treatment: A comparison between patients switching from buprenorphine to methadone and maintenance treatment incident users (ANRS-Methaville trial). International Journal of Drug Policy 39 86-91.
- Chantraine, G. (2004). Par-delà les murs : expériences et trajectoires en maison d'arrêt. PUF, Paris.
- Chemlal, K., Bouscaillou, J., Jauffret-Roustide, M., Semaille, C., Barbier, C., Michon, C. *et al.* (2012). Offre de soins en milieu carcéral en France : infection par le VIH et les hépatites. Enquête Prévacar, 2010 [Health care services in French jails: HIV and hepatitis infections. The PREVACAR survey, 2010]. BEH - Bulletin Épidémiologique Hebdomadaire (10-11) 131-134.
- Crofts, N. (1994). Hepatitis C infection among injecting drug users: where do we go from here? Drug and Alcohol Review 13 (3) 235-237.
- D'almeida, S., Nizri, D. and Dara, M. (2016). Le tabagisme passif en prison : définir une politique factuelle de santé. Thèse de médecine, Université Paris Descartes (Paris 5), Faculté de Médecine.
- Delbos, V., Del Volgo, B., Gourdet, H., Morelle, A., Pelosse, H. and Boudet, L. (2016). Rapport sur l'évaluation des politiques interministérielles d'insertion des personnes confiées à l'administration pénitentiaire par l'autorité judiciaire. Inspection Générale des Services Judiciaires (IGSJ) ; Inspection Générale des Affaires Sociales (IGAS) ; Inspection Générale des Finances (IGF), Paris. Available: <http://www.modernisation.gouv.fr/laction-publique-se-transforme/en-evaluand-ses-politiques-publiques/espace-dedie/evaluation-politiques-interministerielles-insertion-personnes-administration-penitentiaire> [accessed 20/06/2018].
- DGS (2011). Enquête Prevacar - Volet offre de soins - VIH, hépatites et traitements de substitution en milieu carcéral. Direction générale de la santé (DGS), Paris. Available: http://solidarites-sante.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/Enquete_PREVACAR_-_Volet_offre_de_soins_-_VIH_hepatites_et_traitements_de_substitution_en_milieu_carceral_octobre_2011.pdf [accessed 20/06/2018].
- DHOS (2004). Enquête "un jour donné" sur les personnes détenues atteintes par le VIH et le VHC en milieu pénitentiaire. Résultats de l'enquête de juin 2003. Direction de l'hospitalisation et de l'organisation des soins, Paris.
- Duburcq, A., Coulomb, S., Bonte, J., Marchand, C., Fagnani, F. and Falissard, B. (2004). Enquête de prévalence sur les troubles psychiatriques en milieu carcéral (phase 1 de l'étude épidémiologique). CEMKA-EVAL, Bourg-La-Reine. Available: http://www.justice.gouv.fr/art_pix/rapport_detenus_MS_2004pdf.pdf [accessed 20/06/2018].
- Falissard, B., Loze, J.-Y., Gasquet, I., Duburcq, A., de Beaurepaire, C., Fagnani, F. *et al.* (2006). Prevalence of mental disorders in French prisons for men. BMC Psychiatry 6 (33).
- Fernandez, F. (2010). Emprises. Drogues, errance, prison : figures d'une dépendance totale. Larcier, Bruxelles.

- Gentilini, M. and Tcheriatchoukine, J. (1996). Infection à VIH, hépatites, toxicomanies dans les établissements pénitentiaires et état d'avancement de l'application de la loi du 18 janvier 1994. Rapport au garde des Sceaux et au secrétaire d'Etat à la Santé. DGS (Direction Générale de la Santé), Paris.
- INSERM (2010). Réduction des risques infectieux chez les usagers de drogues. INSERM, Paris.
- InVS (2009). Surveillance du VIH/Sida en France. Données du 30 septembre 2009. InVS, Saint-Maurice. Available: http://invs.santepubliquefrance.fr//publications/2010/vih_sida_donnees_septembre_2009/vih_sida_donnees_septembre_2009.pdf [accessed 20/06/2018].
- Jean, J.-P. and Inspection générale des services judiciaires (1996). Groupe de travail sur la lutte contre l'introduction de drogues en prison et sur l'amélioration de la prise en charge des toxicomanes incarcérés. Rapport à Monsieur le Garde des Sceaux, Ministre de la Justice. Ministère de la Justice, Paris.
- Joël, M. (2018). Rapport INED-Sidaction. Prévention et réduction des risques et des dommages en prison et à la sortie. Available: https://www.federationaddiction.fr/app/uploads/2018/05/rapport_8pages.pdf [accessed 04/06/2018].
- Marais-Gaillard, N. (2007). Réseau d'influences criminels dans les prisons françaises. L'économie carcérale des stupéfiants. Thèse de droit, Paris, Département de recherche sur les menaces criminelles contemporaines, Panthéon Assas Paris II.
- Meffre, C. (2006). Prévalence des hépatites B et C en France en 2004. InVS, Saint-Maurice. Available: http://invs.santepubliquefrance.fr//publications/2006/prevalence_b_c/vhb_france_2004.pdf [accessed 20/06/2018].
- Michel, L., Jauffret-Roustide, M., Blanche, J., Maguet, O., Calderon, C., Cohen, J. *et al.* (2011). Prévention du risque infectieux dans les prisons françaises. L'inventaire ANRS-PRI²DE, 2009 [Prevention of infectious risks in prison settings in France. ANRS-PRI²DE inventory, 2009]. BEH - Bulletin Épidémiologique Hebdomadaire (39) 409-412.
- Michel, L. (2018). Usage de substances psychoactives en prison et risques associés. Académie Nationale de Médecine, Paris. Available: <http://www.academie-medecine.fr/usage-de-substances-psychoactives-en-prison-et-risques-associes/> [accessed 20/06/2018].
- Michel, L., Trouiller, P., Chollet, A., Molinier, M., Duchesne, L., Jauffret-Roustide, M. *et al.* (2018). Self-reported injection practices among people who use drugs in French prisons: Public health implications (ANRS-Coquelicot survey 2011-2013). Drug and Alcohol Review 31 (Suppl. 1) S268-S276.
- MILDECA (2018). Alcool, tabac, drogues, écrans : Plan national de mobilisation contre les addictions 2018-2022. Mission interministérielle de lutte contre les drogues et les conduites addictives, Paris.
- Ministère de la justice and Ministère des affaires sociales et de la santé (2012). Prise en charge sanitaire des personnes placées sous main de justice. Guide méthodologique. Ministère de la Justice, Ministère des affaires sociales et de la

santé, Paris. Available: http://www.justice.gouv.fr/art_pix/Guide_Methodologique_Personnes_detenues_2012.pdf [accessed 20/06/2018].

Ministère de la justice and Ministère des solidarités et de la santé (2017). Prise en charge sanitaire des personnes placées sous main de justice. Guide méthodologique. Ministère de la Justice, Ministère des solidarités et de la santé, Paris. Available: <http://www.justice.gouv.fr/prison-et-reinsertion-10036/les-personnes-prises-en-charge-10038/guide-methodologique-prise-en-charge-sanitaire-des-ppsmj-31174.html> [accessed 20/06/2018].

Ministère des affaires sociales et de la santé and MILDT (2013). Guide des traitements de substitution aux opiacés en milieu carcéral. Available: http://solidarites-sante.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/Guide_des_TSO_en_milieu_carceral.pdf [accessed 20/06/2018].

Ministère des affaires sociales et de la santé and Ministère de la justice (2017). Stratégie santé des personnes placées sous main de justice (PPSMJ). Available: http://solidarites-sante.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/strategie_ppsmj_2017.pdf [accessed 20/06/2018].

Mouquet, M.C. (2005). La santé des personnes entrées en prison en 2003. Etudes et résultats. DREES (386).

Néfau, T., Sannier, O., Hubert, C., Karolak, S. and Lévi, Y. (2017). L'analyse des drogues dans les eaux usées : outil d'estimation des consommations, application en milieu carcéral. OFDT, Saint-Denis. Available: <http://www.ofdt.fr/index.php?cID=922> [accessed 12/07/2017].

Obradovic, I. (2005). Addictions en milieu carcéral. Enquête sur la prise en charge sanitaire et sociale des personnes détenues présentant une dépendance aux produits licites ou illicites ou ayant une consommation abusive [Addictions in prison. A survey on socio-sanitary care for addicted prisoners using or overusing licit or illicit substances]. Tendances. OFDT (41).

Obradovic, I., Bastianic, T., Michel, L. and Jauffret-Roustide, M. (2011). Politique de santé et services de soins concernant les drogues en prison (thème spécifique 1) [Drug-related health policies and services in prison (Selected issue 1)]. In: Pousset, M. (Ed.), 2011 National report (2010 data) to the EMCDDA by the Reitox National Focal Point France. New development, trends and in-depth information on selected issues. OFDT, Saint-Denis.

Observatoire des structures de santé des personnes détenues (OSSD) (2014). Rapport d'exploitation des données 2013. DGOS, Ministère des affaires sociales, de la santé et des droits des femmes, Paris.

OR2S (2017). État de santé des personnes entrant en établissement pénitentiaire dans l'ex-Picardie. Caractéristiques sanitaire et sociale des nouveaux détenus en 2015. Observatoire régional de la santé et du social, Amiens. Available: http://www.or2s.fr/images/Prison/2017_CaracteristiquesSanitaireEtSociale_NouveauxDetenusEn2015_Picardie.pdf [accessed 04/06/2018].

Palle, C. and Rattanaray, M. (2018). Les centres de soins, d'accompagnement et de prévention en addictologie en 2016. Situation en 2016 et évolutions sur la période 2005-2016. Analyse des données des rapports d'activité des CSAPA. OFDT, Saint-

Denis. Available: <https://www.ofdt.fr/publications/collections/rapports/rapports-d-etudes/rapports-detudes-ofdt-parus-en-2018/les-centres-de-soins-daccompagnement-et-de-prevention-en-addictologie-en-2016/> [accessed 17/10/2018].

Pradier, P. (1999). La gestion de la santé dans les établissements du programme 13 000 : évaluation et perspectives. Ministère de la justice, Paris. Available: <http://www.ladocumentationfrancaise.fr/rapports-publics/004000190/index.shtml> [accessed 12/07/2017].

Protais, C. (2015). La gestion des drogues et des conduites addictives en UHSA. Note de synthèse d'une enquête effectuée dans les 7 UHSA de France. Note 2015-07. OFDT, Saint-Denis. Available: <https://www.ofdt.fr/publications/collections/notes/la-gestion-des-drogues-et-des-conduites-addictives-en-uhsa/> [accessed 20/06/2018].

Remy, A.J. (2004). Le traitement de l'hépatite en prison est possible avec des résultats satisfaisants : résultats définitifs du premier observatoire prison hépatite C (POPHEC). Gastroentérologie Clinique et Biologique 28 (8-9) 784.

Remy, A.J., Canva, V., Chaffraix, F., Hadey, C., Harcouet, L., Terrail, N. *et al.* (2017). L'hépatite C en milieu carcéral en France : enquête nationale de pratiques 2015 [Hepatitis C in prison settings in France: a national survey of practices for 2015]. Bulletin épidémiologique hebdomadaire (14-15) 277-283.

Rotily, M., Delorme, C. and Ben Diane, M.K. (1998). Réduction des risques de l'infection à VIH et des hépatites en milieu carcéral : prévalence des pratiques à risques et analyse des contraintes et de la faisabilité des programmes de réduction des risques en milieu carcéral. Rapport final. ORS PACA, Marseille.

Rotily, M. (2000). Stratégies de réduction des risques en milieu carcéral. Rapport de la mission santé-justice. Ministère de la justice ; ORS PACA, Paris.

Sahajian, F., Berger-Vergiat, A. and Pot, E. (2017). Use of psychoactive substances in prison: Results of a study in the Lyon-Corbas prison, France. Revue d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique 65 (5) 361-367.

Sanchez, G. (2006). Le traitement du VHC en prison : le foie, une bombe sous les barreaux. Journal du Sida (185) 9-12.

Sannier, O., Verfaillie, F. and Lavielle, D. (2012). Réduction des risques et usages de drogues en détention : une stratégie sanitaire déficitaire et inefficace. La Presse Médicale 41 (7-8) e375-e385.

Semaille, C., Le Strat, Y., Chiron, E., Chemlal, K., Valantin, M.A., Serre, P. *et al.* (2013). Prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis C virus among French prison inmates in 2010: a challenge for public health policy. Eurosurveillance 18 (28) art. 20524.

Senon, J.L., Méry, B. and Lafay, N. (2004). Prison. In: Richard, D., Senon, J.L. & Valleur, M. (Eds.), Dictionnaire des drogues et des dépendances. Larousse, Paris.

Sous-direction de la statistique et des études (2017). Les chiffres-clés de la Justice - Edition 2017. Ministère de la Justice, Paris. Available: http://www.justice.gouv.fr/art_pix/stat_Chiffres%20Cl%20E9s%202017.pdf [accessed 04/06/2018].

Stankoff, S., Dherot, J., DAP (Direction de l'administration pénitentiaire) and DGS (Direction générale de la santé) (2000). Rapport de la mission santé-justice sur la réduction des risques de transmission du VIH et des hépatites en milieu carcéral. Ministère de la Justice, Paris. Available: <http://www.ladocumentationfrancaise.fr/rapports-publics/014000683/index.shtml> [accessed 20/06/2018].

T5.2 Where studies or surveys have been used please list them and where appropriate describe the methodology?

Methodology

Analysis of samples obtained from prison wastewater

Prisons administration directorate (DAP) of the Ministry of Justice / National Center for Scientific Research (UMR 8079 - Paris Sud University) / French Monitoring Centre for Drugs and drug Addiction (OFDT)

A study on the analysis of illegal drug residues obtained from prison wastewater was conducted in 2015. This primarily involved a feasibility study to identify the difficulties in obtaining wastewater samples from closed settings such as prisons.

At the end of this study, a few samples were taken and analysed; however, unless sampling is repeated in each prison, the results obtained are not sufficient to estimate drug use. However, as feasibility has been established, new sampling campaigns have taken place in 2017 and 2018. The results thus obtained will make it possible to estimate the use of drugs and certain medications in the prison settings studied. Furthermore, declaration-based surveys are being conducted within the same establishments and over the same periods, so as to narrow down and compare the results of the two approaches.

ANRS-Coquelicot 2017: Study on use practices and the perception of harm reduction measures among drug users in a prison setting

National Institute for Health and Medical Research (Cermes3-Inserm U988) and Santé publique France (SpF)

This study aims to determine drug use among drug users in a prison setting via a face-to-face questionnaire. The study focuses on users' perceptions of harm reduction measures, use practices (substances and routes of administration), treatment in a health setting, knowledge of transmission modes for HIV, HCV and HBV, and at-risk practices (e.g., context in which they first used drugs, sharing of equipment, use of condoms, etc.).

The survey has been carried out in different prison settings in France between September and December 2016. The results are expected in 2018.

CIRCE: CIRculation, Consumption, Exchange: drugs in the prison setting

French Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (OFDT) / French National AIDS and hepatitis research agency (ANRS) / Prisons administration directorate (DAP)

This is an interview-based qualitative survey aiming to study the way in which inmates are led to use psychoactive substances (alcohol, illegal substances, psychotropic medications), the implementation of harm reduction measures, together with the trafficking phenomenon in the prison setting. This is presented in two sections: the first, mainly health-based, concerns drug use and harm reduction measures; the second concerns circulation and exchanges of psychoactive substances in the prison setting.

Health survey on new prison inmates

Directorate for Research, Studies, Assessment and Statistics (DREES) of the Ministry of Health

This survey was conducted for the first time in 1997 in all remand centres and remand wings within prison settings. The last survey was conducted in 2003. It collects information during the admission medical visit about risk factors for the health of entrants as well as observed pathologies, which are mainly identified from ongoing treatments. Declared use of psychoactive substances included daily smoking, excessive alcohol consumption (more than 5 glasses per day) and "prolonged regular use during the 12 months before imprisonment" of illegal drugs.

OSSD: Observatoire des structures de santé des personnes détenues [Health Facility and Inmate Monitoring Centre]

General Directorate of Health Care Supply (DGOS)

The Health Facility and Inmate Monitoring Centre (OSSD) was created in 2010 by the General Directorate of Health Care Supply (DGOS). It documents OST prescriptions in the prison setting, based on standard activity reports completed annually by the health units. The percentage of individuals receiving OST (opioid substitution treatment) is calculated relative to the number of individuals receiving prescriptions out of the number of inmates held in the prison setting for a given year. The last available data were obtained in 2013.

PREVACAR: Survey on HIV and HCV prevalence in prison settings

National Health Directorate (DGS) / Santé publique France (SpF)

Conducted in June 2010, this survey determined the prevalence of HIV and HCV infection and the proportion of people receiving opioid substitution treatment (OST) in prison settings. The survey also comprises a section on health care delivery in prison settings: screening organisation and practices, treatment of HIV- and hepatitis-infected individuals, access to OSTs and harm reduction.

For the "prevalence" section, data were collected through an anonymous questionnaire completed by the supervising physician. For the "health care delivery" section, a 35-item questionnaire was sent to all 168 prison-based hospital healthcare units (UCSA): 145 of them sent them back to the National Health Directorate (DGS), (86% response rate), representing over 56,000 inmates, or 92% of the incarcerated population, on 1st July 2010.

PRI²DE: Research and intervention programme to prevent infection among inmates

French National AIDS and Hepatitis Research Agency (ANRS)

This study was designed to assess infection harm reduction measures to be established in prison settings. It is based on an inventory whose purpose is to reveal the availability and accessibility of infection harm reduction measures officially recommended in French prisons, as well as the inmates' and health care teams' awareness of these measures. To do this, a questionnaire was sent to each UCSA (prison-based hospital healthcare unit) and SMPR (regional medico-psychological hospital services) in November 2009. 66% of the 171 establishments answered the questionnaire, covering 74% of the population incarcerated at the moment of the study.

The questions pertained to, among others, opioid substitution treatments, infection harm reduction measures (e.g., bleach, condoms and lubricants, tattoo and piercing tools or protocols), screening and the transmission of information on HIV, hepatitis and other sexually transmitted diseases, as well as the treatments dispensed following suspected at-risk practices (e.g., abscesses, skin infections). A consultation with a caregiver was then conducted to specify certain, qualitative items.